




VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Nexfolio

Full-stack developer portfolio with admin panel, blog, resume builder, media library and visitor analytics

Product ID	B0063-46032
Builder	Shafiquil Islam Sagor
Version reviewed	1.0.0 · released 18 Oct 2026
Stack	Next.js 15.5.7 (App Router, React 19) · TypeScript 5 · MongoDB 4.4+
Built with	OpenAI Codex, Claude Code, Gemini CLI
Review period	18 Oct 2026 – 19 Oct 2026 · 2 rounds of changes

93 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	96
Code structure	78
Docs & completeness	93
Dependency health	95
Build & deploy	92

✓ AI code audit 6 checks · 18 Oct 2026	✓ Installed & run 19 Oct 2026	✓ Demo vs delivered 19 Oct 2026	✓ Final sign-off 19 Oct 2026
--	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 14 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 96/100 with no failing check.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.



Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00039. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	All scanned files contain only placeholder values, test fixtures, or environment variable references. The .env.example correctly shows template format without real credentials. Test files use hardcoded test passwords which is acceptable for unit tests. No API keys, database credentials, or signing secrets are committed.	100	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	All production dependencies are pinned in pnpm-lock.yaml with no known critical vulnerabilities. Package versions are current as of early 2025. A few packages could be updated to latest patch versions, but none pose security risks.	95	Pass
Authorisation & access control	All admin mutations are wrapped with adminAction() which enforces authentication and role checks. Passwords use scrypt hashing. The middleware blocks unauthenticated /admin access. Two minor gaps: visitor detail endpoint (app/admin/(panel)/visitors/[id]/page.tsx) and message detail (app/admin/(panel)/messages/[id]/page.tsx) lack explicit ownership checks, allowing any admin to view any visitor/message by ID manipulation.	95	Warning
Database access rules	The project uses Mongoose ODM with proper schema validation and no raw query concatenation, eliminating SQL injection risk. However, MongoDB lacks enforced foreign key constraints and several collections are missing indexes on reference fields (userId, projectId, postId), which could cause N+1 queries and slow lookups at scale. The schema is complete and can be created from empty.	92	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The codebase demonstrates good separation of concerns with clear module boundaries (auth, db, services, admin actions). However, there is notable duplication in validation schemas, some repetitive form components, and a few files approaching complexity limits. The architecture is sound but could benefit from schema consolidation and component extraction.	78	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project is fully buildable from source with clear package.json scripts and Docker support. All dependencies resolve correctly and no build artifacts are committed. Minor documentation gaps exist: README.md lacks explicit Node.js version requirement (only in package.json engines field) and the initial admin account creation step could be clearer for first-time users.	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 Strong authentication guards on all admin routes; minor ownership gaps on visitor detail reads

All admin mutations are wrapped with adminAction() which enforces authentication and role checks. Passwords use scrypt hashing. The middleware blocks unauthenticated /admin access. Two minor gaps: visitor detail endpoint (app/admin/(panel)/visitors/[id]/page.tsx) and message detail (app/admin/(panel)/messages/[id]/page.tsx) lack explicit ownership checks, allowing any admin to view any visitor/message by ID manipulation.

Warning 2 MongoDB schema lacks foreign key constraints and some indexes; no SQL injection risk

The project uses Mongoose ODM with proper schema validation and no raw query concatenation, eliminating SQL injection risk. However, MongoDB lacks enforced foreign key constraints and several collections are missing indexes on reference fields (userId, projectId, postId), which could cause N+1 queries and slow lookups at scale. The schema is complete and can be created from empty.

Warning 3 Moderate duplication and some oversized validation schemas; generally well-structured

The codebase demonstrates good separation of concerns with clear module boundaries (auth, db, services, admin actions). However, there is notable duplication in validation schemas, some repetitive form components, and a few files approaching complexity limits. The architecture is sound but could benefit from schema consolidation and component extraction.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 14 of 15 met.

✓ Complete source for every component	Met
✓ No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓ Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓ Database script or migrations	Met
✓ Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
! Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Partial
✓ Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓ Working live demo	Met
✓ README.md	Met
✓ HowToSetup.md	Met
✓ CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓ CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓ .env.example	Met
✓ a project file	Met
✓ a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 19 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 19 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 19 Oct 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.