

VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Next-books

Cloud accounting for UAE SMEs: invoicing, bills, expenses, banking, FIFO inventory, VAT 201 returns and reports.

Product ID	**B0006-96276**
Builder	**Build Nest**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 6 Oct 2026**
Stack	**ASP.NET Core 10 MVC · C# 12 / .NET 10 · SQL Server 2019+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**6 Oct 2026 – 6 Oct 2026**

89 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	92
Code structure	70
Docs & completeness	93
Dependency health	85
Build & deploy	92

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 6 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
6 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
6 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
6 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 14 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 92/100 with no failing check.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00015. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No real credentials were discovered in the codebase. However, docker-compose.yml references MSSQL_SA_PASSWORD from environment without a strong default, and .env.example ships empty (buyers may set weak passwords). The application correctly externalizes all secrets to appsettings.json and environment variables, which is good practice.	92	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	All dependency lockfiles are present and pinned. No known critical or high-severity vulnerabilities detected in the .NET packages (Dapper 2.1.35, FluentAssertions 7.0.0, xUnit 2.9.2) or npm dependencies (htmx.org 2.0.4, tailwindcss 3.4.17). Several packages are 1-2 years old but remain secure. The project uses explicit version pinning in packages.lock.json files, which is best practice.	85	Warning
Authorisation & access control	All mutating endpoints require authentication via [Authorize] and role checks via [RequirePermission]. Password hashing uses a proper hasher (PasswordHasher). The main gaps are missing ownership checks on a few read endpoints (user can query another user's sign-in history, trusted devices, or email settings by changing the userId parameter). These are low-risk but should verify the current user matches the requested userId.	92	Warning
Database access rules	All SQL is parameterized via Dapper; no string concatenation of user input. Delete and update statements are properly scoped by organization or user. Schema scripts are complete with foreign keys and cascade rules. A few foreign key columns lack indexes, which may cause performance issues under load but do not compromise security.	92	Pass
Code structure & duplication	Checked by our reviewers during the human review.	70	Warning
Build reproducibility	The solution builds cleanly with consistent .NET 10 references and proper project structure. Docker setup is complete and coherent. Minor issues: HowToSetup.md doesn't specify Node.js version requirement for npm commands, and the setup document could be clearer about when to run database scripts versus the migrate command.	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No critical vulnerabilities; some packages outdated, no security patches needed**

All dependency lockfiles are present and pinned. No known critical or high-severity vulnerabilities detected in the .NET packages (Dapper 2.1.35, FluentAssertions 7.0.0, xUnit 2.9.2) or npm dependencies (htmx.org 2.0.4, tailwindcss 3.4.17). Several packages are 1-2 years old but remain secure. The project uses explicit version pinning in packages.lock.json files, which is best practice.

Warning 2 **Weak default SA password and example files present; no real credentials found**

No real credentials were discovered in the codebase. However, docker-compose.yml references MSSQL_SA_PASSWORD from environment without a strong default, and .env.example ships empty (buyers may set weak passwords). The application correctly externalizes all secrets to appsettings.json and environment variables, which is good practice.

Warning 3 **Strong authentication and role checks; minor ownership gaps on read endpoints**

All mutating endpoints require authentication via [Authorize] and role checks via [RequirePermission]. Password hashing uses a proper hasher (PasswordHasher). The main gaps are missing ownership checks on a few read endpoints (user can query another user's sign-in history, trusted devices, or email settings by changing the userId parameter). These are low-risk but should verify the current user matches the requested userId.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 14 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	appsettings.example.json	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 6 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 6 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 6 Oct 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.